

ANNEXURE - I											
Tamilnadu Textbook and Educational Services Corporation, Chennai – 6.											
SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF SHOES IN TAMIL NADU ON ANNUAL RATE CONTRACT BASIS											
Name of the work / Contract No.		SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF SHOES TO THE SCHOOL CHILDREN STUDYING FROM VI TO X Std. GOVERNMENT / GOVERNMENT AIDED SCHOOLS IN TAMIL NADU ON ANNUAL RATE CONTRACT BASIS For the year 2022-2023 / RC.No.10450/PUR-1/2021									
Date of tender invited :		20.12.2021									
Date of tender opening :		15.02.2022									
Date of award of contract :		09.05.2022									
Sl. No.	Name of the bidders participated in the tender	Name of bidder not qualified technically and the reasons Reason	Name of technically qualified bidder and the quoted rate (inclusive of 12% GST)				Negotiated L1 Rate (inclusive of 12% GST)				
			Category-I		Category-II		Category - I		Category- II		
			Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	
			Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	
2	M/s. Vinishma Technologies Pvt Ltd., 351, Ecotch Extension I, Kasna Greater, Noida, Gautam Budha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh – 201310	Recommended based on the report of technical Committee	Name of technically qualified bidder and the quoted rate (inclusive of 12% GST)				Negotiated L1 Rate (inclusive of 12% GST)				
			Category-I		Category-II		Category - I		Category- II		
			Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	
			Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	
				197.12	-	207.48	203.84	173.21	-	176.79	120.09
3	M/s. Charanpaaduka Industries Pvt. Ltd., 11/371, 1st Floor, Sunder Vihar, Paschim Vihar, Delhi - 110087	Recommended based on the report of technical Committee	Name of technically qualified bidder and the quoted rate (inclusive of 12% GST)				Negotiated L1 Rate (inclusive of 12% GST)				
			Category-I		Category-II		Category - I		Category- II		
			Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	
			Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	
				-	185.92	-	-	-	107.59	-	-
1	M/s. Lehar Footwear Ltd, A-243 (A), Road No.6, VKI Area,Jaipur - 302013	Recommended based on the report of technical Committee	Name of technically qualified bidder and the quoted rate (inclusive of 12% GST)				Negotiated L1 Rate (inclusive of 12% GST)				
			Category-I		Category-II		Category - I		Category- II		
			Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	
			Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	Rs.P.	
				195.00	199.00	120.70	135.00	173.21	107.59	176.79	120.09

Purchashe order issued to the above Supplier for the Supply of Shoes for the year - 2022 -2023			
4	M/s. Diomond Footcare Udyog Pvt. Ltd., Plot No.345, HSIIDC, Footwear Park Sector-17, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar Haryana - 124507	Not Recommended as per tender clause 4.4.5 Past Experience & as per tender clause 4.4.6 cash credit facility	Not Aplicable
5	M/s. R.S.Marketing, Plot No.910, MIE Part – A, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar, Haryana – 124507.	Not Recommended as per tender clause 4.4.5 - Past Experience	Not Aplicable
6	M/s. Garg Footwear, B-13, Naresh Park Extn., Gali No.4-5, Nangoli, Delhi – 110041	Not Recommended as per tender clause 4.17.1 - Lack of Sample Submission	Not Aplicable
7	M/s. Best polymer, No.171, 2nd Floor, 5 A Cross Vidyagiri Layout, Nagarabhavi, Bangalore - 560072	Not Recommended as per tender clause 4.17.1 - Lack of Sample Submission & as per tender clause 4.4.5 past experience & as per tender clause cash credit facility	Not Aplicable
8	M/s. Ashoka Polymers, Ground Floor No.304, Industrial Area, Narela, New Delhi – 110040	Not Recommended as per tender clause 4.4.5 past experience CC Not submitted as per tender clause 4.4.6	Not Aplicable
9	M/s. BNG Fashion Gears (Pvt.) Ltd., 313/76-A, Tulsi Nagar, Inderlok, Delhi – 110035	Not Recommended as per tender clause 4.21-(b) Lab test Failure	Not Aplicable
10	M/s. M.B.Rubber (P) Ltd, 195, Gagan Vihar, New Delhi – 51	AAG has provided the legal opinion on the explanatory notes of the firms namely M/s. M.B.Rubber Pvt. Ltd., that the explanation given by the bidder taking refuge under Doctrine of Merger, that too after the tender issuing authority sought for explanation can never be accepted it is also state that the non- disclosure of blacklisting and subsequent stay, at the time of submitting of bid by the bidder is definitely violation of tender conditions and the subsequent explanation can never be accepted. It is also made clear that as the tenderers have submitted their tenders without disclosing blacklisting, their tenders are liable to be rejected.	Not Aplicable

11	M/s. H.B.Rubber (P) Ltd., 195, Gagan Vihar, Delhi - 110051	Recommended based on the report of technical Committee	Dos no't match with L1 rate
12	M/s. Powertech Electro Infra Private. Ltd., B-65, Sector- 80, Noida, Gautambudh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh	Not recommended as per the tender clause 4.22.3 If the report of the Field Inspection / Site Visit is adverse, the Tenderer shall be disqualified and the Price Bid of such Tenderer shall not be opened. (Clause No. 4.22.3)	Not Aplicable
13	M/s. Today Footwear (P) Ltd., AB-11, Mianwali Nagar, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi – 110087.	Not recommended as per the tender clause 4.21(b) - Lab test Failure	Not Aplicable
14	M/s. Lancer Footwear India Pvt. Ltd., 252, Ground Floor, Bhera Enclave, Paschim vihar, New Delhi.	Not recommended as per the tender clause 4.21(b) - Lab test Failure	Not Aplicable
15	M/s. Manjeet Plastic Industries, 30 / 56, West Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi – 110026	Not recommended as per the tender clause 4.21(b) - Lab test Failure	Not Aplicable
16	M/s. Infinity, UttarKhand. 1237, HSIIDC Industrial Estate, Rai, Sonipat, Haryana-131029	Not recommended as per the tender clause 4.21(b) - Lab test Failure	Not Aplicable
17	M/s. Relic Footwear KHASRA No.42/3/2, Block -B, Distt. Jhajjar- 124507 Harayana	Not Recommended as per tender clause 4.4.5 - Past Experience	Not Aplicable

18	M/s. XO Footwear Pvt. Ltd., A-122, Mangolpuri Industrial Area, Phase – II, New Delhi - 110034	Not Recommended As per the Tender Clause 4.22.3 and 4.21.(b) Lab test Failure AAG has provided the legal opinion on the explanatory notes of the firms namely M/s. XO Footwear Pvt Ltd.,that the explanation given by the bidder taking refuge under Doctrine of Merger, that too after the tender issuing authority sought for explanation can never be accepted. It is also stated that the non-disclosure of blacklisting and subsequent stay, at the time of submitting of bid by the bidder is definitely violation of tender conditions and the subsequent explanation can never be accepted. It is also made clear that as the tenderers have submitted their tenders without disclosing blacklisting, their tenders are liable to be rejected.	Not Applicable
19	M/s. Mahaveer Polymers Pvt. Ltd., FE-16-17, Malviya Industrial Area, Jaipur – 302017 (Rajasathan)	Not Recommended as per tender clause 4.4.5 - Past Experience	Not Applicable
20	M/s. Footwear (Klick) India Pvt Ltd., D-3, Udyog Nagar, New Delhi-110041	Not recommended as per the tender clause 4.21(b) Lab Test Failure	Not Applicable
21	M/s.Bata India Limited, 27B, Camac Street, 1st Floor, Kolkata – 700016	Not recommended as per the tender clause 4.21(b) Lab Test Failure	Not Applicable
			Sd/- Managing Director, Tamilnadu Textbook and Educational Services Corporation